



MARCH 2003

DRAFT MODIFICATION REPORT
MODIFICATION PROPOSAL P119 -
Increased flexibility in the reporting
of upheld Trading Disputes relating
to Credit Default

Prepared by ELEXON on behalf of the Balancing
and Settlement Code Panel

Document Reference	P119RR
Version no.	0.3
Issue	Draft
Date of Issue	19 March 2003
Reason for Issue	For Consultation
Author	ELEXON Limited

I DOCUMENT CONTROL

a Authorities

Version	Date	Author	Reviewer	Change Reference
0.1	12/03/03	Dena Harris	Roger Salomone	Initial Draft
0.2	17/03/03	Dena Harris	John Lucas	Technical Review
0.3	19/03/03	Change Delivery	BSC Parties	For Consultation

b Distribution

Name	Organisation
Each BSC Party	Various
Each BSC Agent	Various
The Gas and Electricity Markets Authority	Ofgem
Each BSC Panel Member	Various
energywatch	energywatch
Core Industry Document Owners	Various

c References

Ref.	Document	Owner	Issue Date	Version
1.	Modification Proposal P119	ELEXON	19/02/03	1.0
2.	Modification P119 Initial Written Assessment	ELEXON	07/03/03	1.0
3.	CP917 Change Proposal 'Reporting of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default on the BMRS'	ELEXON	22/01/03	1.0
4.	CP703 Change Proposal 'Credit Default Process'	ELEXON	24/09/01	1.0

Unless otherwise stated electronic copies of these documents can be found on the BSC Website, at www.elexon.co.uk.

d Intellectual Property Rights and Copyright

This document contains materials the copyright and other intellectual property rights in which are vested in ELEXON Limited or which appear with the consent of the copyright owner. These materials are made available for you to review and to copy for the purposes of the establishment, operation or participation in electricity trading arrangements in Great Britain under the BSC. All other commercial use is prohibited. Unless you are a person having an interest in electricity trading in Great Britain under the BSC you are not permitted to view, download, modify, copy, distribute, transmit, store, reproduce or otherwise use, publish, licence, transfer, sell or create derivative works (in whatever format) from this document or any information obtained from this document otherwise than for personal academic or other non-commercial purposes. All copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original material must be retained on any copy that you make. All other rights of the copyright owner not expressly dealt with above are reserved.

II CONTENTS TABLE

I	Document Control	2
a	Authorities	2
b	Distribution	2
c	References.....	2
d	Intellectual Property Rights and Copyright.....	2
II	Contents Table	3
1	Summary and Recommendations	4
1.1	Recommendation.....	4
1.2	Background.....	4
1.3	Rationale for Recommendations	4
2	Introduction	5
3	History of Proposed Modification	5
4	Description of the Modification	5
5	Rationale for Panel Recommendations	6
6	Legal Text to Give Effect to the Modification	6
7	Assessment	6
8	Summary of Representations	7
8.1	Arguments Supporting the Panel Recommendations	7
8.2	Arguments Against the Panel Recommendations.....	7
8.3	Panel Discussion of Representations	7
Annex 1	Cost Summary	8
Annex 2	Representations	8
A2.1	Summary of Representations.....	8
A2.2	Detailed Responses.....	8
Annex 3	Legal Text	8

1 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 Recommendation

On the basis of the analysis, consultation and assessment undertaken in respect of this Modification Proposal during the Modification Procedure, and the resultant findings of this report, the Balancing and Settlement Code Panel recommends that:

Proposed Modification P119 should be made; and

The Implementation Date should be 15 Business Days after the Authority's decision.

1.2 Background

Modification Proposal P119 'Increased flexibility in the reporting of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default' (P119) seeks to amend Section M.3.5.1(a) of the Balancing and Settlement Code ('the Code') to allow notices of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default to be published on either, or both, the BSC Website and Balancing Mechanism Reporting Service (BMRS), rather than both as is currently required.

Under Section F2.1.1(d) of the Code, the Balancing and Settlement Code Panel ('the Panel') can raise a Modification Proposal on the recommendation of BSCCo to rectify minor inconsistencies, manifest errors or other minor consequential changes, or if they believe it would better facilitate the objective in Condition C3(3)(d) of the Transmission Licence, promoting efficiency in the implementation of the balancing and settlement arrangements.

An Initial Written Assessment (IWA) was submitted to the Panel on 13 March 2003 (Reference 2), and the Panel agreed with BSCCo's recommendation that P119 should proceed directly to the Report Phase as the changes proposed by P119 are of a minor and inconsequential nature. The Panel agreed that this would increase the efficiency of balancing and settlement arrangements and therefore better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (d).

1.3 Rationale for Recommendations

The Panel agreed with BSCCo's recommendation that allowing notices of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default to be published on either, or both, of the BSC Website and the BMRS, will improve the efficiency of the balancing and settlement arrangements and therefore better facilitates achievement of the Applicable BSC Objective in Condition C3(3)(d) of the Transmission Licence.

- (d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements.

The Panel also noted that the proposed change will have no impact on any Party or BSC Systems.

XX responses to the draft Modification Report consultation were received. XX responses (XX Balancing and Settlement Code Parties (BSC Parties) and XX non BSC Parties) supported the Panel's recommendations and XX (XX BSC Parties and XX non BSC Parties) did not support the Panel's recommendations. [insert sentence to say if they raised any new issues]. A summary of the responses received is given in Section 8.

2 INTRODUCTION

This Report has been prepared by ELEXON Ltd., on behalf of the Panel, in accordance with the terms of the Code. The Code is the legal document containing the rules of the balancing mechanism and imbalance settlement process and related governance provisions. ELEXON is the company that performs the role and functions of the BSCCo, as defined in the Code.

This Modification Report is addressed and furnished to the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority ('the Authority') and none of the facts, opinions or statements contained herein may be relied upon by any other person.

An electronic copy of this document can be found on the BSC Website, at www.elexon.co.uk.

3 HISTORY OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION

P119 was raised on 19 February 2003 by BSCCo, on behalf of the Panel, in accordance with Paragraph F2.1.1(d)(iv) of the Code. P119 was raised following a discussion of paper 58/013, at the Panel meeting on 19 February 2003. P119 seeks to allow notices of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default notices to be published on either, or both, the BSC Website and BMRS, rather than both as currently required.

The IWA was submitted to the Panel at their meeting on 13 March 2003. The IWA did not identify any major issues and therefore the Panel agreed that P119 be submitted directly to the Report Phase.

The Panel recommended that the Proposed Modification should be made. The Panel also agreed that in the event that the Authority determines that the Proposed Modification should be made, the Implementation Date should be 15 Business Days after the Authority's decision.

The costs highlighted for implementation of P119 are only for documentation updates and are discussed further in Annex 1.

On the basis of the IWA, the Panel supported the view that P119 better facilitates achievement of Applicable BSC Objective (d) as it promotes efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements.

A draft Modification Report for P119 was issued for consultation on 19 March 2002. *XX responses, representing XX BSC Parties, were received. [Insert sentence to say if the Panel recommends or rejected the Modification on the basis of the consultation responses].*

The draft Modification Report was presented to the Panel meeting of 10 April 2003 and at this meeting the Panel agreed [insert details of any Panel decisions that take place at the Panel meeting].

4 DESCRIPTION OF THE MODIFICATION

P119 seeks to amend Section M.3.5.1(a) of the Code to allow notices of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default notices to be published on either, or both, of the BSC Website and Balancing Mechanism Reporting Service (BMRS), rather than both as currently required.

Currently Section M.3.5.1(a) of the Code requires notices of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default notices to be published on both the BSC Website and the BMRS. At present there is no functionality to publish on the BMRS, hence, to comply with the Code, developments to the BMRS will be required. The costs for doing this and the associated operational costs have not yet been identified and are currently being assessed under CP917 'Reporting of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default on the BMRS' (Reference 3). This situation represents a potential business risk, in that, were the

implementation costs for CP917 to prove unacceptably high, BSCCo would be left unable to comply with Section M3.5.1 (a) of the Code.

If CP917 is authorised, P119 will enable upheld Trading Disputes to be published alongside Credit Default statements on the BMRS - Credit Default statements will be published on the BMRS upon implementation of CP703 'Credit Default Process' (Reference 4). BSCCo would not need to replicate these notices on the BSC Website. If CP917 is not authorised, upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default will not be capable of being published on the BMRS, only on the BSC Website. P119 will therefore enable BSCCo to make a commercial decision, about whether to publish the notices, as a one off publication, on the BMRS or not. The option to publish on both would be maintained to cover for any system failure. P119 will provide a more efficient implementation of the Code

5 RATIONALE FOR PANEL RECOMMENDATIONS

The Panel unanimously agreed that P119 will increase the efficiency of the upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default reporting process and therefore better facilitates Applicable BSC Objective (d).

The rationale for this is that P119 proposes a change to the Code allowing the posting of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default notices on either, or both, of the BSC Website and BMRS. This would be consistent with other reporting requirements set out in the Code and would allow BSCCo to make a commercial judgement on publishing on the BMRS and thus provides increased flexibility.

6 LEGAL TEXT TO GIVE EFFECT TO THE MODIFICATION

Proposed Modification P119 requires changes to Sections M, of the Code. A summary of the changes is given below and a detailed red lined version of the legal text is included in Annex 3.

Section M (version 7.0): Changes are required to section M3 to allow the posting of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default notices on either, or both, the BSC Website and BMRS.

The legal drafting included with this report is drafted against the version of the Code current on the date of the Panel meeting (13 March 2003). The legal drafting was checked against all Modifications that had been approved by the Authority on the date of the Panel meeting, including those that have not yet been implemented. If the baseline of the Code changes prior to implementation of P119, or if other Modification Proposals are to be implemented at the same time as P119, the legal text may need to be amended.

7 ASSESSMENT

The proposed changes are of a minor and inconsequential nature, and have no impact on any systems or processes other than BSCCo local work instructions (LWI).

During the Initial Assessment of P119, the following minor impacts on BSCCo's procedures and systems were identified:

- The LWI of BSCCo's Credit Default team will need to be updated to reflect the change in the process for reporting upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default under Section M3 of the Code; and
- There is potential impact on the Reporting Catalogue to change the reference to, the use of BSC Website for publishing details of upheld Trading Disputes relating to Credit Default.

The IWA was presented to the Panel on 13 March 2003. The Panel recommended that the Proposed Modification P119 should be made.

8 SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONS

The draft Modification Report was issued for consultation on 19 March 2003 with responses due back on 28 March 2003. XX responses were received.

- *XX responses (XX BSC Parties) supported the recommendation that the Proposed Modification should be made;*
- *XX responses (XX BSC Parties) supported the recommended Implementation Date;*
- *XX responses (XX BSC Parties) supported the Legal Drafting;*
- *XX response (XX BSC Party) did not support the Panel recommendations; and*
- *XX response had no comment.*

The views expressed in the responses are summarised below:

Respondent	No. BSC Parties Represented	No. non BSC Parties Represented	Agree with Recommendations	Agree with Legal Drafting	Agree with Implementation Date
TOTAL (X)	X	X	X	X	X

Annex 2 of this Modification Report contains a summary of the responses and copies of the actual responses received. A summary of the arguments and issues raised in the responses is given below. A summary of the arguments raised in the responses is given below in sections 8.1 and 8.2.

8.1 Arguments Supporting the Panel Recommendations

The following arguments were raised in the consultation responses, in support of the Panel recommendation that the Proposed Modification be made:

[insert details of any arguments raised in consultation responses]

8.2 Arguments Against the Panel Recommendations

The following arguments, raised in the consultation responses, did not support the Panel recommendation that the Proposed Modification be made;

[insert details of any arguments raised in consultation responses]

8.3 Panel Discussion of Representations

At the Panel meeting of 10 April 2003, the arguments raised in the consultation responses were presented.

[Insert details of any Panel discussions relating to the consultation responses]

ANNEX 1 COST SUMMARY

The only costs associated with the implementation of P119 will relate to documentation updates i.e. the cost of making changes to the Code and issuing the revised version; the cost of analysing potential interactions between P119 and other pending Modification Proposals; and the cost of updating BSCCo's LWI. All of these costs are expected to be minor.

ANNEX 2 REPRESENTATIONS

A2.1 Summary of Representations

The draft Modification Report was sent out for consultation on 19 March 2003 with responses due back on 28 March 2003. The table below gives a summary of the responses and the actual responses received are attached below.

	Responses	BSC Parties	Non BSC Parties
Support recommendations			
Do not support recommendations			
No Comment			
Total			

Representations were received from the following parties:

No	Company	File Number	No. BSC Parties Represented	No. non BSC Parties Represented	Views
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					

A2.2 Detailed Responses

[Insert copies of responses received]

ANNEX 3 LEGAL TEXT

See attached document (P119 Legal drafting version 2).