

Modification Proposal – BSCP40/03	MP No: P319 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
Title of Modification Proposal <i>(mandatory by originator):</i>	
Removal of the requirement to provide and annually review RMPs.	
Submission Date <i>(mandatory by originator):</i>	
12 March 2015	
Description of Proposed Modification <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>This Modification proposes increase the efficiency of the Performance Assurance Framework (PAF) processes by changing the term ‘Risk Management Plan’ to ‘Risk Management Determination’ (RMD). This will provide more flexibility in the way ELEXON provides information relating to changes in the application of Performance Assurance Techniques (PATs) to Performance Assurance Parties (PAPs), tailoring it to each individual PAP.</p> <p>This Modification also proposes to amend Section Z5.7.1(b) to remove the requirement to annually review the RMD, as it is this that introduces duplication of information. Any changes to the PATs applied to a PAP are submitted to the PAB for approval (at the time), and a PAP is subsequently notified of any approved changes. An annual review will not provide a PAP with any new information.</p> <p>In practice, this Modification will not alter the process of notifying PAPs of which PATs are applied to them.</p>	
Description of Issue or Defect that Modification Proposal Seeks to Address <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>The RMP was introduced into the PAF by P207. These detail relevant risks that have been identified for each PAP. ELEXON believes that the information provided to PAPs is duplicated through other PAF mechanisms. For example, PAPs are informed about the deployment or changes to the deployment of PATs via the processes set out in the relevant PAT Code Subsidiary Documents (CSDs). Some Parties have indicated that they agree with ELEXON and place little value on RMPs.</p>	
Impact on Code <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
<p>Section X Annex X-1 ‘General Glossary’ Section Z ‘Performance Assurance’</p>	
Impact on Core Industry Documents or System Operator-Transmission Owner Code <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
None Anticipated.	

Modification Proposal – BSCP40/03	MP No: P319 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
Impact on BSC Systems and Other Relevant Systems and Processes Used by Parties <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
Performance Assurance Framework	
Impact on other Configurable Items <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
BSCP27 ‘Technical Assurance of Half Hourly Metering Systems for Settlement Purposes’ BSCP535 ‘Technical Assurance’ BSCP536 ‘Supplier Charges’ BSCP537 ‘Qualification Process for SVA Parties SVA Party Agents and CVA Meter Operators’ BSCP538 ‘Error and Failure Resolution’	
Justification for Proposed Modification with Reference to Applicable BSC Objectives <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
This Modification better achieves BSC Applicable Objective (d) ‘Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements’ because removing the requirement to needlessly reproduce data will make the relevant processes more streamlined.	
It also removed the requirement to annually issue duplicated information in a report that Parties have indicated they do not value.	
Is there a likely material environmental impact? <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
No	
Urgency Recommended: Yes / No <i>(delete as appropriate) (optional by originator)</i>	
Justification for Urgency Recommendation <i>(mandatory by originator if recommending progression as an Urgent Modification Proposal)</i>	
N/A	
Self-Governance Recommended: Yes / No <i>(delete as appropriate) (optional by originator)</i>	
Justification for Self-Governance Recommendation <i>(mandatory by originator if recommending progression as Self-Governance Modification Proposal)</i>	
The proposed Modification will have no material impact on processes, any BSC Parties or Party Agents.	

Modification Proposal – BSCP40/03	MP No: P319 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
Fast Track Self-Governance Recommended: Yes / No <i>(delete as appropriate) (optional by originator)</i>	
Justification for Fast Track Self-Governance Recommendation <i>(mandatory by originator if recommending progression as Fast Track Self-Governance Modification Proposal)</i> N/A	
Should this Modification Proposal be considered exempt from any ongoing Significant Code Reviews? <i>(optional by originator in order to assist the Panel decide whether a Modification Proposal should undergo a SCR Suitability Assessment)</i> No. This Modification is not affected by a SCR.	
Details of Proposer: <i>Name:</i> BSC Panel..... <i>Organisation:</i> <i>Telephone Number:</i> <i>Email Address :</i>	
Details of Proposer's Representative: <i>Name:</i> Matthew Woolliscroft <i>Organisation:</i> ELEXON <i>Telephone Number:</i> 020 7380 4165 <i>Email Address :</i> matthew.woolliscroft@elexon.co.uk	
Attachments: Yes / No <i>(delete as appropriate) (mandatory by originator)</i> If Yes, Title and No. of Pages of Each Attachment:	