

# ISSUE 86 MEETING TWO NOTES

---

## BSCP Redlining

### BSCP501

Need to ensure D0205 is referenced in the changes to the new connections process (3.6).

In the current process, it is possible to send a skeleton D0055 and follow up with D0205. Need to reflect that with CSS/REC, a D0205 will need to be sent before sending a D0209 as it can't be sent until all details are held.

Paragraph 3.6.2 needs splitting out into two paragraphs, with a separate step showing that the D0209 flow is triggered by a D0205.

Paragraph 3.6 needs to take a similar approach to paragraph 3.4 but with consideration given to setting of Energisation Status and details etc.

There will be no direct interface between the CSS and SMRS. In relation to synchronisation, reference should be to the Electricity Retail Data Service (ERDS).

Paragraph 3.10 (where import Metering System is registered in CSS/SMRS and export is registered centrally in CMRS) will be simplified, such that the Supplier follows the BSCP20 process for the export Metering System, BSCCo confirms the expected effective date and the Supplier registers the import with the same effective date in CSS.

### BSCP504

Paragraph 3.2.6.7 footnote 3 causes confusion. There needs to be a consistent approach between Change of Supplier (CoS) and change of Agent (CoA) regarding when to send D0151s.

There was a question over when to send the D0151. It was agreed that the D0151 should be sent as soon as possible but by SSD.

The de-registration and disconnection processes need to be split out as they don't always occur together. ELEXON may wish to consider adding a prompt that they may be concurrent and to act accordingly.

**BSCP537** - No changes required to draft redlining. ELEXON mentioned that the PAF Review may be taking the Self-Assessment Document (SAD) out of BSCP537 which could affect the Issue 86 BSCP537 redlining.

## Associated changes to the BSC

### Section H

ELEXON will contact the REC Manager to suspend registration when approved by the BSC Panel.

The REC will align with existing BSC arrangements rather than existing MRA as it will be possible for Suppliers to update technical details of existing Meters even if suspended from registering new Meters.

The Ofgem representative thought that they are minded to put this into the performance assurance schedule. This is due to be consulted on and if there are still gaps then ELEXON could include this in their consultation response.

# ISSUE 86 MEETING TWO NOTES

---

**Section K** - The Issue group agreed with the proposals made by ELEXON in their meeting slides

**Section S** - The Issue group agreed with the proposals made by ELEXON in their meeting slides

## Section W

CSS will be the master record of who the registered Supplier is vice SMRS. It won't be possible to retrospectively amend Supplier registration but, Registration will still be changeable going forward.

ELEXON will not have access to CSS source data for auditing purposes as we do for SMRS but we will have access to the enquiry service.

## Timings spreadsheet

**Legacy NHH** - The Issue group agreed with the proposals made by ELEXON.

**Reversion to legacy** - A new tab will be created but there is no urgency as this isn't an issue to date.

**NHH DCC enrolled** - The Issue group agreed with the proposals made by ELEXON.

**HH DCC Enrolled** - All were generally happy with ELEXON's proposals but as there is very little experience of this in terms of number of companies involved it wasn't discussed in detail.

## 100kW & AMR

ELEXON to reduce the times for sending D0011 and D0148 to standardise with NHH timings.

De-appointment flows can be brought forward to better align with NHH and reduce the overall time.

There is no need to wait 5WDs to send a D0268 – as it can be sent as soon as a D0170 is received. The new MOA will still have 5WDs to forward to HHDC, Supplier and LDSO (to allow for 'triage' process – e.g. test dialling).

## CoS no Meter

There are scenarios whereby a Supplier has registered a connection and MPAN/MSID but, a Meter is yet to be installed. Unlike SMRS, CSS will not allow an initial registration with no meter to be backed out. As such the CoS process with no meter will become more prevalent. A D0150 needs to be sent regardless of whether there is a Meter installed and the flow structure allows for this. There was a feeling that this

## ISSUE 86 MEETING TWO NOTES

---

process is not adequately supported by all Suppliers or Agents, as systems may not be configured for the automatic creation of a D0150 where details are missing.

### Elective HH Metering

There was not enough time to cover this in depth – ELEXON would welcome ideas before we re-meet, and particularly if Members could find reasons why the current solution doesn't work.

### Smart CoS – P302 experience

There was not enough time to cover this in depth. The Issue Group would prefer to look at a process diagram at the next meeting rather than looking at just legal text.

It was suggested that we need to think about how Smart Export Guarantee may impact smart CoS whereby there are different Suppliers for Import and Export.

### AOB/Actions

ELEXON to liaise with Ofgem and MRA around January meeting dates.

ELEXON need to determine which changes are required for CSS/REC, which will be consequential and which will be BSC fixes/quick-wins.

There will need to be three sets of Redlining – CSS/REC switching; consequential changes; and a consolidated version. These will need to be updated as other changes occur to the impacted documents.

There is no need to change shared metering arrangements/complex sites as they're not part of the next-day vision. Ofgem to clarify whether switch processes which occur in very low numbers, but require longer lead times – such as shared metering, CMRS registered export, SMRS-CMRS and CMRS-SMRS migrations, can retain their longer lead-times. This is already being considered in the context of multiple providers, as part of P379.

The CSS can send notifications of loss to agents. This is an optional subscription service. The current intention is to exclude these notifications from the BSCPs as they are included in the REC and the D0151 will take precedence for Settlement purposes. Ofgem to confirm that the original policy of retaining the D0151 is still applicable.

ELEXON to look at CoS with no meter in more depth and bring back to Issue Group meeting three.

Issue Group Members to provide examples of where the current P302 process is not working and examples of why people are avoiding the process.